RESEARCH ARTICLE

Characterization of fecal sludge as biomass feedstock in the southern Indian state of Tamil Nadu [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 1 approved with reservations]

Viswa Barani¹, Meghan Hegarty-Craver², Praveen Rosario³, Prakash Madhavan³, Prasanna Perumal³, Sarani Sasidaran³, Milan Basil³, Antony Raj³, Adrian B. Berg², Andrea Stowell², Camille Heaton², Sonia Grego ⁴

¹PSG Institute for Medical Sciences and Research, Coimbatore, TN, 641004, India

²RTI International, Research Triangle Park, NC, 27709, USA

³RTI International - India, New Delhi, 100037, India

⁴Center for WaSH-AID, Duke University, Durham, NC, 27701, USA

V1 First published: 10 Oct 2018, 2:52
<https://doi.org/10.12688/gatesopenres.12870.1>
 Latest published: 24 Jan 2020, 2:52
<https://doi.org/10.12688/gatesopenres.12870.2>

Abstract

Background: Transformative sanitation technologies aim to treat fecal sludge (FS) by thermal processes and recover resources from it. There is a paucity of data describing the relevant properties of FS as viable feedstock for thermal treatment in major geographical target areas, such as India.

Methods: This study characterized FS collected from septic tanks in two cities located in the Indian southern state of Tamil Nadu. FS samples were obtained at the point of discharge from trucks in Tiruppur (n=85 samples) and Coimbatore (n=50 samples). Additionally, biosolids obtained from sewage treatment plants (STP) in the cities of Coimbatore and Madurai were characterized. Proximate and ultimate analysis as conducted by the fuel industry was carried out.

Results: The average higher heating value (HHV) across all FS samples in Tiruppur (13.4 MJ/kg) was much higher than the value for FS in Coimbatore (5.4 MJ/kg), which was partially attributed to the high ash content of 69% in the latter samples. The HHV in the biosolids samples ranged between 10 and 12.2 MJ/Kg. The average total solids (TS) content for FS was 3.3% and 2.0% for Tiruppur and Coimbatore respectively, while the median TS content for the two cities was 2.3% and 1.2%. The heavy metal content of the ash was found to be below the thresholds for land disposal.

Conclusions: This is one of the first studies that has systematically characterized fecal sludge in cities in India and determined its calorific content. We expect these data to serve as input data in the design of thermal processes for fecal sludge treatment.

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 2		
(revision)
24 Jan 2020	view	view

version 1
10 Oct 2018	view	view

1. **Santiago Septien Stringel** , University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa

2. **Ewan McAdam** , Cranfield University, Cranfield, UK

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Keywords

total solids, higher heating value, ash content, heavy metal, proximate analysis, ultimate analysis

This article is included in the [Water, Sanitation & Hygiene](#) gateway.

Corresponding author: Sonia Grego (sonia.grego@duke.edu)

Author roles: **Barani V:** Investigation, Methodology; **Hegarty-Craver M:** Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Rosario P:** Investigation, Methodology, Resources; **Madhavan P:** Investigation; **Perumal P:** Investigation, Project Administration, Resources; **Sasidaran S:** Investigation, Resources; **Basil M:** Investigation, Methodology; **Raj A:** Project Administration, Supervision; **Berg AB:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision; **Stowell A:** Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Writing – Review & Editing; **Heaton C:** Project Administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; **Grego S:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This work was supported by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, contract service agreement ID 43951 for the Sanitation Technology Platform (STeP)

Copyright: © 2018 Barani V *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Barani V, Hegarty-Craver M, Rosario P *et al.* **Characterization of fecal sludge as biomass feedstock in the southern Indian state of Tamil Nadu [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 1 approved with reservations]** Gates Open Research 2018, 2:52 <https://doi.org/10.12688/gatesopenres.12870.1>

First published: 10 Oct 2018, 2:52 <https://doi.org/10.12688/gatesopenres.12870.1>

Introduction

Access to safe water and proper management of human waste is a major global challenge and is one of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. As much as a third of the world population (2.4 billion people) lacks access to improved sanitation ([WHO/UNICEF, 2014](#)). Of these, 800 million live in India, and 650 million live in sub-Saharan Africa ([WHO/UNICEF, 2014](#)).

Developing and emerging countries rely extensively on onsite waste treatment (e.g., septic tanks or pit latrines). In India, only 32.7% of the urban population has a piped sewer connection ([Census 2011](#)). Fecal sludge (FS), which is the raw or partially digested semisolid material that is produced from human excreta and blackwater, collects in onsite technologies and needs to be removed periodically. The economic burden of providing adequate treatment of fecal sludge results in unsafe discharge of waste emptied from onsite systems and potential health consequences.

Resource recovery from waste may support the development of viable business models for sustainable sanitation solutions ([Diener *et al.*, 2014](#)). Traditionally, the most common form of resource recovery from fecal sludge solids has been that of soil conditioning. More promising options have recently emerged including use of fecal sludge as components in building materials, as a source of protein for animal feed and as industrial fuel ([Diener *et al.*, 2014](#)).

Innovative sanitation treatment approaches under development aim to recover energy from onsite waste systems through processes including combustion ([Sellgren *et al.*, 2017](#)), gasification ([Onabanjo *et al.*, 2016](#)), smoldering ([Yermán *et al.*, 2015](#)) and hydrothermal carbonization ([Afolabi *et al.*, 2017](#)). Key figures of merit for biomass feedstock include total solids (TS) content and calorific content. FS characterized by a low TS and/or calorific content poses significant technical challenges for energy recovery applications, making these critical parameters for determining the economic viability of the use of FS as fuel.

The calorific content, expressed as higher heating value (HHV), of fresh human feces is approximately 21 MJ/kg, which compares well to wood biomass ([Onabanjo *et al.*, 2016](#)). The energetic properties of sludge depends heavily on the type of treatment used ([Fonts *et al.*, 2009](#)). Values ranging from 25 MJ/Kg for primary sewage sludge treated at municipal waste treatment facilities ([Tyagi & Lo, 2013](#)) to 9–12 MJ/kg for anaerobically digested sewage sludge ([Fonts *et al.*, 2009](#)) have been reported.

There is a lack of information related to the calorific properties of septage, or fecal sludge exclusively from septic tanks, in developing countries. For onsite sanitation systems, a study from three cities in west Africa reported HHV between 16–19 MJ/kg (for dried solids), and TS content ranging from 1% for septic tanks to 6% for unlined pit latrines ([Muspratt *et al.*, 2014](#)). A recent report from Ghana also found TS values of 1–2% in septic tanks and 5% in pit latrines ([Fanyin-Martin *et al.*, 2017](#)).

Septage properties are expected to be system- and location-dependent due to different structural and environmental conditions and personal hygiene habits, particularly whether toilet paper is used or, as in India, water is used for cleansing. Recently, a survey of the septage composition in the metropolitan area of Chennai, India reported very low solids content (0.2–0.35%) ([Krithika *et al.*, 2017](#)).

The present study addresses the knowledge gap related to the physical and energetic properties of FS as a potential fuel source in southern India. Fecal sludge was sampled from desludging trucks in the urban areas of Coimbatore and Tiruppur in the southern state of Tamil Nadu. We measured TS and calorific content (HHV) of the sludge, as well as conducted proximate and ultimate analysis tests according to standard procedures used in the fuel industry. We additionally conducted ash analysis for heavy metals that pose a potential environmental hazard. Additionally, proximate and ultimate analysis testing of the biosolids generated at three sewage treatment plants (STP) was conducted. Biosolids represent another potential biomass feedstock for thermal processing.

Methods

Site descriptions

We investigated the properties of the FS and biosolids in three cities located within a 200km radius in the southern state of Tamil Nadu: Coimbatore, Tiruppur, and Madurai. Coimbatore has a population of 1.6 million, and the average temperature and rainfall are 26.3°C and 618mm. Tiruppur has a population of 0.88 million, and the average temperature and rainfall are 27.3°C and 605mm. Madurai has a population of 3 million, and the average temperature and rainfall are 28.8°C and 840mm. Regionally, precipitation is lowest in January–February (7–14mm), and highest in October (151–191mm) (See [climate-data.org](#)). A description of the sample collection and details of the STPs in the three cities is provided in [Table 1](#). [Table 1](#) also includes the city sewage coverage as estimated by respective city officials (personal communication).

The properties of both the fecal sludge delivered to the Coimbatore Ukkadam STP, as well as the biosolids generated at the Ukkadam STP, were analyzed.

Sample collection efforts in Tiruppur were coordinated through contact with multiple septic tank service companies, and meetings were arranged at different discharge sites (i.e., fields or open pits) located throughout the city. Biosolids samples were not available in this city.

Two STPs were identified in Madurai (Avaniyapuram and Sakki Magalam) and the biosolids generated by each STP were analyzed. FS samples were not studied in Madurai.

Fecal sludge sampling

Sample collection from desludging trucks occurred in Coimbatore on two separate days in the months of November 2016, and January 2017, both in the dry season. Samples were collected by randomly selecting desludging trucks that were discharging their load at the Ukkadam STP. Multiple sampling campaigns were undertaken in Tiruppur across a 14-month period

Table 1. Breakdown of sample collection by city. City estimated sewage coverage and details of the sewage treatment plants (STP) are included. MLD (Million-liter per day).

	Coimbatore	Tiruppur	Madurai
Sewage coverage	~ 7%	~17-18%	~70%
Fecal Sludge (FS) sampling	Yes	Yes	No
Biosolids sampling	Yes	No	Yes
Sewage Treatment Plant (STP)	Ukkadam	Not evaluated	Avaniyapuram (AV) and Sakki Magalam (SK)
Flow to STP (MLD)	25-30	-	20-25/10-15
Collection date	November 2016, Jan 2017	Jan 2017, July 2017, Feb-March 2018	December 2016

(Jan 2017, July 2017, Feb–March 2018) that included both the dry and wet seasons.

Information related to the source of the sample was collected and included: location, location category (i.e., residential, public, commercial, and industrial), additional category details (public community toilet, house, apartment, etc.), type of sanitation system (septic tank and soak pit), inclusion of graywater (yes/no), and maintenance quality of system (poor, moderate, and high).

A stopwatch was used to measure the amount of time that it took the truck to discharge (the typical range was 3–7 minutes). Five liter containers were used to collect grab samples, which were taken every 30 seconds beginning with initial discharge. The grab samples from the three containers representing the beginning, middle, and end of emptying, were combined to make a 15L composite sample for each truck. After thoroughly mixing with a clear rod, a 4.5L sample volume was collected for further processing and analysis, and the remainder was discarded.

The 4.5L composite FS samples from each truck were individually solar dried in large plastic bins that were partially covered with plastic sheets. The bins were dried over the course of multiple days and stored indoors overnight. This solar drying reduced the sample volume to a wet sludge that was transferred to petri dishes for oven drying. The petri dishes were placed overnight in a temperature-controlled oven that did not exceed 100°C (typically 55°C). The samples were then removed from the oven and allowed to cool for 15 minutes, and the dry mass measured by a laboratory scale. The samples were placed back in the oven for an additional 4 hours, and the mass re-measured. This process was repeated until the dry mass varied by <4%, according to APHA 2540 B. The samples were then lightly ground and mixed by hand in a plastic tub to form a homogenous composite mixture.

Biosolids sampling

Biosolid samples were obtained from fecal sludge that was processed at the Ukkadam STP in Coimbatore, and the Avaniyapuram and Sakki Magalam STPs in Madurai. The biosolids samples were collected soon after being processed according to the plant standard procedure. The processing apparatus was located on

the first floor of each of STPs, and a trailer was parked underneath to collect the wet biosolids. During normal operation, the trailer is used to transport the wet biosolids to an adjacent drying field.

For sample collection, the trailer bed was thoroughly rinsed to remove potential contamination. A rope and tape measure were used to demarcate six equal segments of the bed of the trailer. A custom trier sampler was pressed straight down into the center of each section, and then carefully extracted. Excess biosolids were cleaned from the outside of the trier sampler, and its contents added to a 20 liter mixing bucket. Sampling was conducted in this way for the remaining five sections, and the composite sample was thoroughly mixed. Then, the samples were transferred to the transport containers and sealed.

The biosolids samples were dried overnight in petri dishes in a temperature-controlled oven that did not exceed 100°C. The samples were then removed from the oven and allowed to cool for 15 minutes, and the dry mass measured by a laboratory scale. The samples were then placed back in the oven for an additional 4 hours, and the mass re-measured. This was repeated until the dry mass varied by <4%.

Sample analysis

Duplicate 500 gram samples of the dried FS or biosolids were shipped in sealed containers to SGS India Pvt. Ltd (Chennai laboratories) for analysis.

HHV was measured by bomb calorimetry according to ASTM D5865. For the proximate analysis tests, the ash content was determined according to ASTM D3174, volatile matter was determined according to ASTM D3175, the percent sulfur was determined using the bomb washing method according to ASTM E 775, and fixed carbon was determined according to ASTM D3172.

For the ultimate analysis tests, the percent carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen were obtained according to ASTM D5373, total sulfur content was determined according ASTM 4239 (high temperature combustion with thermal conductivity detection), and the percent oxygen obtained according ASTM D3176 by difference of CHNS and ash content.

Ash composition analysis was carried out according to ASTM D6349 by ICP-MS/ICP-OES (inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry) for oxides and mercury, and trace metals were measured with in-house standard operating procedure for ICP.

Empirical models

To ensure consistency between bomb calorimetry and elemental analysis, estimates of the HHV were calculated from the elemental content reported in the proximate and ultimate analysis using two alternative formulas from the literature:

Equation 1 (Channiwalla & Parikh, 2002)

$$HHV = 0.3491 C + 1.1783 H + 0.1005 S - 0.1034 Y - 0.0151 N - 0.0211 A \quad (MJ/Kg) \quad [1]$$

Equation 2 (Sheng & Azevedo, 2005)

$$HHV (MJ/Kg) = -1.3675 + 0.3137 C + 0.7009 H + 0.0318 Y \quad [2]$$

where C = % carbon, H = % hydrogen, S = % sulfur, Y = % oxygen, N = % nitrogen, A = % ashweight percent on a dry mass basis.

The lower heating value LHV in MJ/Kg was calculated by HHV using the relationship from (IPCC, 2006)

$$LHV (MJ/Kg) = HHV (MJ/Kg) - 0.212 * H - 0.008 * Y \quad [3]$$

Results and discussion

Description of FS sources

Samples were collected from n=50 truck discharges serving distinct locations in Coimbatore on two separate days, and n=85 truck serving distinct locations within Tiruppur on multiple sampling days. The truck volumes ranged from 4000–7500 liters in Coimbatore, and 3500–8000 liters in Tiruppur.

Based on the information collected by surveying the drivers, most of the septic systems were reported to have good (high or moderate) maintenance, with only a few (3/85 for Tiruppur and 3/50 for Coimbatore) reporting poor or unknown

maintenance of the onsite system. Septic tanks accounted for 90% or more of the sampled systems, with soak pits accounting for 10% of the samples in Coimbatore and 4% of the samples in Tiruppur.

The FS sources were assigned to one of 5 categories:

1. residential single-family houses
2. residential multifamily establishments (e.g. apartments, or community houses with one common septic tank)
3. industrial sites
4. commercial sites
5. public toilets

Figure 1 illustrates the distribution across categories of the sampled sources. The majority of the samples collected for both cities were from residential sites, with the second major grouping coming from public toilets (22 and 24% of the samples).

Total solids content of FS sources

Table 2 summarizes descriptive statistics on the TS values. The average TS in Tiruppur (3.3%) is higher than in Coimbatore (2%), and also higher than the 1–2% reported in the literature for septic tanks in Africa (Fanyin-Martin *et al.*, 2017; Muspratt *et al.*, 2014). The TS values measured in Coimbatore and Tiruppur are an order of magnitude higher than the 0.2–0.3% reported for the metropolitan area of Chennai, which is also located in the state of Tamil Nadu (Krithika *et al.*, 2017). However, based on the driver survey results, only 36% of the sources in Coimbatore and 11% of the sources in Tiruppur received graywater in addition to blackwater.

While the percentage of sources including graywater relies on self-reported data, this data aligns well with the higher values of TS measured in settings with low percentage of graywater inclusion, and is comparable to septage in African cities (Fanyin-Martin *et al.*, 2017; Muspratt *et al.*, 2014). The study by Krithika and colleagues that took place in a geographically similar region did not track graywater inclusion, but did report

Figure 1. Characterization of fecal sludge sample sources A. Tiruppur B. Coimbatore.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of the total solids contents measurement of fecal sludge.

Parameter	Tiruppur (n=85)	Coimbatore (n=49)
Average	3.3 %	2.0 %
Standard Deviation	2.8 %	2.3 %
Median	2.3 %	1.2 %
Min	0.1 %	0.02%
Max	16.8 %	9.9 %

a very high emptying frequency (from days to one month), which suggests the likelihood of graywater being included (Krithika *et al.*, 2017).

The range of TS values observed in Coimbatore and Tiruppur is large and broadly distributed (Figure 2). The average TS is skewed high due to a few samples with a very high TS concentration (larger than 5%), so the median value is a better estimate of the FS volume available as biomass feedstock in these cities. This study did not examine the effect of different seasons on the TS content, which has been reported to decrease during the rainy seasons (Krithika *et al.*, 2017). For the city of Tiruppur, sampling was carried out during both seasons, but no significant difference was found.

Effect of multiple desludging trips

This study was able to evaluate samples drawn from trucks conducting multiple trips to fully empty the same septic tank. Figure 3 reports the TS measured in successive trips for nine sources. We anticipated that the TS content from the first trip would be lower because this sample would represent the upper liquid layer of the tank. In 6 out of 9 measurements, the TS concentration from the second trip was indeed higher than the first. Source site 7 was a particularly large septic tank and required four trips to be emptied (samples were collected from trips 1, 2, and 4). The TS concentration from the fourth and final trip was extremely high, indicating that this sample likely represented the dense sludge at the bottom of the septic tank.

Elemental composition and calorific content of FS sources

The dried solids content from the individual trucks from Coimbatore and Tiruppur were combined prior to proximate and ultimate analysis. For Coimbatore, 29 trucks were combined into one composite, and two replicates analyzed (N=2). For Tiruppur, samples from different source categories were combined, and replicates of each analyzed for a total of N=11 samples. The results of the proximate and ultimate analysis by city are provided in Table 3.

The average ash content in Coimbatore (69%) was much higher than in Tiruppur (39%), the latter being similar to ash values reported in the literature for sewage (Fonts *et al.*, 2009). Because

the ash content of fresh feces is only 17% (on a dry basis) (Onabanjo *et al.*, 2016), the higher ash content of sludge is attributed to environmental factors such as the construction practices of onsite systems. A high ash content is detrimental to the calorific value of the sludge. The HHV of the sludge sampled in Coimbatore is low (5.4 MJ/kg), while the sludge sampled from Tiruppur has a higher HHV (13.4 MJ/kg) despite a higher fixed carbon level. Elemental analysis revealed higher percentages of carbon and oxygen in Tiruppur compared to Coimbatore, which is in agreement with higher HHV (see Equation 1 and Equation 2).

The analysis for the dried composite samples for Tiruppur further enabled segmentation of the HHV by sludge source (Figure 4). The pilot sites featured the lowest HHV values measured for this city for undetermined reasons. The industrial sites featured lower HHV than residential sites, particularly the single-family sites. Surprisingly, the FS from public toilets also exhibited a high HHV, where we expected that potentially a higher frequency of urination could result in lower HHV than residential sites. The LHV, calculated from measured HHV using Equation 3, is reported in Figure 4 to illustrate the calorific value available for thermal processes that do not capture the latent heat of water vaporization and it is therefore a more conservative measure of the calorific value of the biomass feedstock.

Heavy metal content of FS sources

Thermal processing of fecal sludge produces ash streams (either bottom ash or fly-ashes) that ultimately need to be disposed of. The heavy metals in sludge or biomass become concentrated into ash upon thermal processing (Nzihou & Stanmore, 2013) creating a concern for disposal of ash, which may be labelled as hazardous waste if values exceed certain thresholds. We measured the concentration of the following metals in the FS ash: barium (Ba), selenium (Se), silver (Ag), arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), lead (Pb) and mercury (Hg).

Table 4 reports the average of the two samples measured for Coimbatore, as well as each sludge source category in Tiruppur. A third replicate for the Tiruppur public community toilet sample was removed as an outlier (these results are included in the supplemental data provided with this report). The heavy metal results were compared with values from literature, as well as thresholds defined by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for land disposal and by India Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate change (MOEF) for organic compost.

We found that selenium, silver, arsenic, and cadmium were below the measurement detection limit of 10mg/kg in both cities and all categories. Barium content was found to be high (>100mg/kg) in all the measurements. The barium values are similar to values reported from the FS study in Chennai India (Krithika *et al.*, 2017), as well as to values measured in a study of biomass combustion in China (Li *et al.*, 2012). Although Barium does not have a threshold concentration in solid

Figure 2. Distribution of total solids for fecal sludge samples by city.

Figure 3. Multi-trip total solids (TS) results from Tiruppur. Source 7 required four consecutive trips, all other sources required two trips.

Table 3. Proximate and ultimate analysis of the moisture-free fecal sludge samples (Avg $\pm$ St. Dev). N is the number of replicates analyzed. HHV (higher heating value), LHV (lower heating value).

	Coimbatore	Tiruppur
Proximate Analysis	N = 2	N = 11
Ash (%)	69.3 $\pm$ 12.9	39.0 $\pm$ 12.8
Volatile Matter (%)	26.5 $\pm$ 8.8	47.7 $\pm$ 9.9
Fixed Carbon (%)	3.2 $\pm$ 3.5	11.4 $\pm$ 3.2
HHV [Meas] (MJ/kg)	5.4 $\pm$ 2.4	13.4 $\pm$ 3.2
Sulfur (%)	0.8 $\pm$ 0.2	1.1 $\pm$ 0.2
Ultimate Analysis	N = 2	N = 11
Carbon as C (%)	16.3 $\pm$ 6.7	33.0 $\pm$ 7.4
Hydrogen as H (%)	2.4 $\pm$ 1.2	4.7 $\pm$ 1.0
Nitrogen as N (%)	1.4 $\pm$ 0.7	3.1 $\pm$ 0.7
Oxygen as O (%)	9.7 $\pm$ 4.1	19.2 $\pm$ 4.1
Sulfur as S (%)	0.9 $\pm$ 0.2	1.1 $\pm$ 0.2
HHV [Equ 1] (MJ/kg)	6.1 $\pm$ 3.6	14.3 $\pm$ 3.7
HHV [Equ 2] (MJ/kg)	5.8 $\pm$ 3.1	13.0 $\pm$ 3.1
LHV [Equ 3] (MJ/kg)	5.2 $\pm$ 3.8	11.9 $\pm$ 2.9

waste, there is an EPA limit of 100 mg/L as a leachate for land application.

Chromium concentrations of 20–80 mg/kg were detected in Coimbatore, as well as two different sample sets from Tiruppur; the concentration was below 10 mg/kg for the other samples. These values are comparable or smaller than values found in the literature (Krithika *et al.*, 2017; Li *et al.*, 2012), and lower than the US EPA pollutant concentration limit of 200 mg/Kg for disposal. The MOEF threshold for organic compost is 50mg/kg for chromium, which was not achieved for several of the surveyed samples.

Lead values were found to be low, between 10–20 mg/kg (at most), meeting both the EPA pollutant concentration limit of 300 mg/kg for disposal and MOEF limit of 100 mg/kg for compost.

Mercury was measured below the detection limit in all samples. Mercury levels are therefore below the EPA ceiling concentration for disposal of 57 mg/kg, however, the measurement detection limit was not adequate to establish whether the MOEF threshold of 0.15mg/kg was met.

Comparing the sludge source categories with each other (Table 4), the community toilet samples have the highest heavy metal content. We speculate that they may be more prone to disposal of polluting waste because they are the least controlled environment of all tested sources.

Properties of biosolids sources

Biosolids were analyzed from Ukkadam STP in Coimbatore, and the Avaniyapuram (AV) and Sakki Magalam (SK) STPs in Madurai. Table 5 summarizes the measured values for TS, as well as the results of the proximate and ultimate analysis. The TS content of the biosolids is much higher than the FS and has a narrower distribution of values (range: 16.5%–18.9%). Ash content was also very similar across sites. HHV values ranged between 10 and 12 MJ/kg, with the Sakki Magalam samples exhibiting the highest fraction of carbon and highest HHV. The HHV of the Coimbatore biosolids was 11 MJ/Kg,

Figure 4. Calorific content of the fecal sludge in Tiruppur. measured HHV (errorbar: st. dev) and LHV calculated according to Equation 3 by source category: pilot study, public community toilet, industrial, multifamily, and single family establishment.

Table 4. Heavy metal content of fecal sludge ash samples (samples n=2 for each category) in mg/kg dry mass. Average $\pm$ st. dev. is reported.

Parameter (mg/kg)	Coimbatore	Tiruppur Pilot	Tiruppur Community	Tiruppur Industrial	Tiruppur-Multi	Tiruppur Single
Ba	108.5 $\pm$ 9.2	191.0 $\pm$ 17.0	>1000	140.5 $\pm$ 4.9	150.5 $\pm$ 10.6	160.0 $\pm$ 7.1
Se	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Ag	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
As	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Cd	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Cr	22.0 $\pm$ 9.9	60.0 $\pm$ 70.7	79.0 $\pm$ 9.9	<10	<10	<10
Pb	10.0 $\pm$ 1.4	10.5 $\pm$ 0.7	21.0 $\pm$ 2.8	<10	<10	<10
Hg	<10	<10	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5

which is much higher than the values measured for septage from the same city (5.4 MJ/kg).

Empirical models

The elemental composition of both the FS and biosolids samples was used to estimate HHV according to two distinct empirical models reported in the literature. The first model (see Equation 1) is commonly used (Channiwal & Parikh, 2002), and the second model (see Equation 2) represents a more recent approach (Sheng & Azevedo, 2005). Figure 5 reports the comparison of the measured HHV values and the calculated values according to the two models for the 5 categories of FS and 3 biosolids sites. We found that the measured HHV compared well with the empirical model results, with the model developed by Sheng *et al.* consistently being the more accurate predictor of the measured HHV (the average absolute error across our dataset for the Sheng model is 0.38 MJ/kg vs. 0.95 MJ/kg for the Channiwal model).

Conclusion

This study presents an extensive characterization of the fecal sludge and biosolids from cities in the Southern Indian state

of Tamil Nadu, including HHV (i.e., calorific value), which is a critical figure of merit for biomass to be used as fuel. The HHV values for FS measured in the two cities were quite different despite their geographical proximity. The HHV values measured for FS in Tiruppur, as well as for biosolids in both Coimbatore and Madurai, are at or above 10 MJ/Kg while the Coimbatore FS is much lower at 5.4 MJ/Kg. The heavy metal content of the FS ash in both cities indicates that it would not be considered as hazardous waste and, with some caveats, may be suitable for agriculture. The study evaluated FS from both residential and public settings and did not identify any major trend dependent on the generator.

In agreement with previous work, high variability in TS values from individual septic tanks was found. The averages TS value for the FS in septic tanks from two medium-sized cities in India were comparable with data from African studies (in the 1–3% range), and much higher than the values reported for a metropolitan area in same Indian state.

We expect this analysis to inform the design of thermal processes and systems to properly manage septage.

Table 5. Proximate and ultimate analysis of biosolid samples on a dry basis. Average $\pm$ st dev. HHV (higher heating value), LHV (lower heating value), Madurai AV (Avaniyapuram) and SK (Sakki Magalam).

	Madurai-AV	Madurai-SK	Coimbatore
Samples	N = 4	N = 4	N = 9
Total Solids (%)	18.2 $\pm$ 1.6	16.5 $\pm$ 0.7	18.9 $\pm$ 2.4
Proximate Analysis	N = 4	N = 3	N = 4
Ash (%)	48.3 $\pm$ 0.1	47.4 $\pm$ 9.3	47.9 $\pm$ 4.3
Volatile Matter (%)	46.6 $\pm$ 2.8	47.5 $\pm$ 5.8	45.7 $\pm$ 0.8
Fixed Carbon (%)	4.1 $\pm$ 3.1	3.7 $\pm$ 3.5	4.0 $\pm$ 4.5
HHV [Meas] (MJ/kg)	10.0 $\pm$ 0.5	12.2 $\pm$ 1.6	11.0 $\pm$ 0.6
Sulfur (%)	1.4 $\pm$ 0.2	1.3 $\pm$ 0.1	1.4 $\pm$ 0.1
Ultimate Analysis	N = 4	N = 3	N = 4
Carbon as C (%)	26.2 $\pm$ 0.1	33.4 $\pm$ 0.6	29.0 $\pm$ 1.3
Hydrogen as H (%)	3.5 $\pm$ 0.1	4.2 $\pm$ 0.3	3.6 $\pm$ 0.3
Nitrogen as N (%)	3.5 $\pm$ 0.0	4.5 $\pm$ 0.2	2.6 $\pm$ 0.1
Oxygen as O (%)	17.0 $\pm$ 0.1	9.3 $\pm$ 8.2	15.5 $\pm$ 3.0
Sulfur as S (%)	1.4 $\pm$ 0.2	1.3 $\pm$ 0.1	1.5 $\pm$ 0.1
HHV [Eq 1] (MJ/kg)	10.5 $\pm$ 0.2	14.7 $\pm$ 0.1	11.9 $\pm$ 0.6
HHV [Eq 2] (MJ/kg)	10.0 $\pm$ 0.1	12.5 $\pm$ 0.6	10.9 $\pm$ 0.7
LHV [Equ 3] (MJ/kg)	9.1 $\pm$ 0.5	11.2 $\pm$ 1.5	10.1 $\pm$ 0.6

Figure 5. Higher heating value (HHV) by city and source category measured and calculated according to Equation 1 and Equation 2, by city and source category. A. Fecal sludge (FS) samples and B. biosolids samples. Error bar on the measured HHV is the st. dev.

Data availability

The data underlying this study is available from Open Science Framework (OSF), Dataset 1: “Fecal Sludge and Biosolids Sample Analysis (Tamil Nadu)”, <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/MDAUN> (Hegarty-Craver, 2018).

Raw data available

- File 1: Coimbatore Fecal Sludge Sample Information

- File 2: Tiruppur Fecal Sludge Sample Information
- File 3: SGS Fecal Sludge Results
- File 4: SGS Biosolids Results

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero “No rights reserved” data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

Grant information

This work was supported by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, contract service agreement ID 43951 for the Sanitation Technology Platform (STeP).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the city officials and the STP management of the cities of Tiruppur, Coimbatore and Madurai for providing information and access to the biosolids and desludging trucks.

References

- Afolabi OOD, Sohail M, Thomas CLP: **Characterization of solid fuel chars recovered from microwave hydrothermal carbonization of human biowaste.** *Energy*. 2017; **134**: 74–89.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Channiwalla SA, Parikh PP: **A unified correlation for estimating HHV of solid, liquid and gaseous fuels.** *Fuel*. 2002; **81**(8): 1051–1063.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Diener S, Semiyaga S, Niwagaba CB, *et al.*: **A value proposition: Resource recovery from faecal sludge—Can it be the driver for improved sanitation?** *Resour Conserv Recy*. 2014; **88**: 32–38.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Fanyin-Martin A, Tamakloe W, Antwi E, *et al.*: **Chemical characterization of faecal sludge in the Kumasi metropolis, Ghana [version 1; referees: 1 approved, 1 approved with reservations].** *Gates Open Res*. 2017; **1**: 12.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Fonts I, Azuara M, Gea G, *et al.*: **Study of the pyrolysis liquids obtained from different sewage sludge.** *J Anal Appl Pyrolysis*. 2009; **85**(1–2): 184–191.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Hegarty-Craver M: **Fecal Sludge and Biosolids Sample Analysis (Tamil Nadu).** OSF. 2018.
<http://www.doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/MDAUN>
- IPCC: **IPCC IPCC Guidelines, II, Section 1.4.1.2, Box 1.1.** 2006.
- Krithika D, Thomas AR, Iyer GR, *et al.*: **Spatio-temporal variation of septage characteristics of a semi-arid metropolitan city in a developing country.** *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int*. 2017; **24**(8): 7060–7076.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Li L, Yu C, Bai J, *et al.*: **Heavy metal characterization of circulating fluidized bed derived biomass ash.** *J Hazard Mater*. 2012; **233–234**: 41–47.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Muspratt AM, Nakato T, Niwagaba C, *et al.*: **Fuel potential of faecal sludge: calorific value results from Uganda, Ghana and Senegal.** *J Water Sanit Hyg De*. 2014; **4**(2): 223–230.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Nzihou A, Stanmore B: **The fate of heavy metals during combustion and gasification of contaminated biomass—a brief review.** *J Hazard Mater*. 2013; **256–257**: 56–66.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Onabanjo T, Patchigolla K, Wagland ST, *et al.*: **Energy recovery from human faeces via gasification: A thermodynamic equilibrium modelling approach.** *Energy Convers Manag*. 2016; **118**: 364–376.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Sellgren K, Gregory C, Hunt M, *et al.*: **Development of an electrochemical process for blackwater disinfection in a free-standing, additive-free toilet.** RTI Press, Research Triangle Park, NC. 2017.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Sheng C, Azevedo JLT: **Estimating the higher heating value of biomass fuels from basic analysis data.** *Biomass Bioenergy*. 2005; **28**(5): 499–507.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Tyagi VK, Lo SL: **Sludge: a waste or renewable source for energy and resources recovery?** *Renew Sust Energ Rev*. 2013; **25**: 708–728.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- WHO/UNICEF: **Progress on drinking water and sanitation.** Joint Water Supply Sanitation Monitoring Programme, 2014.
[Reference Source](#)
- Yermán L, Hadden RM, Carrascal J, *et al.*: **Smouldering combustion as a treatment technology for faeces: exploring the parameter space.** *Fuel*. 2015; **147**: 108–116.
[Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 19 July 2019

<https://doi.org/10.21956/gatesopenres.13956.r26706>

© 2019 McAdam E. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Ewan McAdam

School of Water, Energy and Environment, Cranfield University, Cranfield, UK

The central thesis of this manuscript is to provide greater detail on the potential for faecal sludge to be managed via a thermal oxidative/reductive pathway. The data is of interest and specifically to those seeking to develop means of managing thermal pathways for the destruction of faecal sludge to support safe disposal, and could conceivably be used as a resource with which to understand technology transfer into the field.

The manuscript is well written and provides useful data on a specific faecal sludge source; data from the field such as this, is hard to find and so presents an important base of data for those involved in this subject area.

Where the manuscript could perhaps improve is in enriching the narrative around the specificity of the focus of the study but also, to more clearly set the boundary conditions to clarify the significance of the solids concentration and HHV values reported. To expand on this:

1. The title does not clearly articulate thermal processing, which may help draw in interested readers.
2. The faecal sludge source that is characterised is quite specific, and so being specific in the title and abstract may help prospective authors to identify the value of the manuscript more immediately.
3. Based on the solids concentration and HHV of the sludge, together with the spatial and sampling differences, it would be useful to have a short paragraph/subsection to help contextualise how this data might inform the type of thermal process that is most suitable for this source/type of sludge (e.g. this may infer sub-critical water oxidation as more favourable due to the lower solids concentration), what scales may be appropriate for intervention with thermal processes for this type/source of sludge and whether upstream technical interventions could transition this technical selection - e.g. shorter residence times before emptying, better construction techniques for storage, avoidance of flushwater etc. - all of which could challenge scale, mode and point of intervention, as well as determining

the best available technology.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: water treatment, wastewater treatment, gas treatment, energy, separation processes

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 16 Jan 2020

Sonia Grego, Duke University, Durham, USA

We thank Dr. McAdam for the review and we appreciate his suggestions on how to better draw interested readers to the manuscript. Point by point response to his comments follow.

Where the manuscript could perhaps improve is in enriching the narrative around the specificity of the focus of the study but also, to more clearly set the boundary conditions to clarify the significance of the solids concentration and HHV values reported. To expand on this:

1. The title does not clearly articulate thermal processing, which may help draw in interested readers.
2. The faecal sludge source that is characterised is quite specific, and so being specific in the title and abstract may help prospective authors to identify the value of the

manuscript more immediately

In response to comments 1 and 2 the title and abstract have been modified to add specificity. In the title we added the word thermal treatment, but we were unable to be more specific on the type of FS because the paper reports on both septage and treated sewage sludge and we felt this is too much detail for a title. We specified FS types in the abstract.

1. Based on the solids concentration and HHV of the sludge, together with the spatial and sampling differences, it would be useful to have a short paragraph/subsection to help contextualise how this data might inform the type of thermal process that is most suitable for this source/type of sludge (e.g. this may infer sub-critical water oxidation as more favourable due to the lower solids concentration), what scales may be appropriate for intervention with thermal processes for this type/source of sludge and whether upstream technical interventions could transition this technical selection - e.g. shorter residence times before emptying, better construction techniques for storage, avoidance of flushwater etc. - all of which could challenge scale, mode and point of intervention, as well as determining the best available technology.

We embraced this comment and the insight shared by the reviewer and added a subsection entitled “Applicability of thermal processes to fecal sludge treatment” to discuss the implications of the data on the thermal processes that may best suit this environment.

In the subsection, we discuss separately the biosolids from the septage with emphasis on the opportunities for decentralized treatment, particularly by hydrothermal oxidation and added relevant references to the bibliography.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 22 October 2018

<https://doi.org/10.21956/gatesopenres.13956.r26703>

© 2018 Septien Stringel S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Santiago Septien Stringel

Pollution Research Group, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa

This article is about the characterization of faecal sludge and biosolids from wastewater treatment plants from the province of Tamil Nadu, India, in view of reuse as biofuel. Available data about the faecal sludge characteristics in India is lacking in literature, whereas the implementation of efficient faecal sludge management is imperative in that country where there is a critical lack of sanitation. In this respect, this article presents useful data for sanitation practitioners for the implementation of faecal sludge treatment strategies in India, and builds in the body of

knowledge about the characteristics of faecal sludge. In general, the article is well-written, structured and clear. The analysis of the results seems appropriate and the findings are consistent.

I have no major comments on the article. Nevertheless, there are some minor points where the article could be improved and these are arisen in the list of comments below. Once the authors will address adequately these points, I will recommend the acceptance of the paper for its publication.

Abstract:

In the paragraph about the methods, the other analyses that were conducted, apart from the proximate and ultimate analyses, should be mentioned.

Introduction:

In the last paragraph, the scope of your work should have more details:

- Indicate the reasons to analyse specifically the faecal sludge from the province of Tamil Nadu;
- Give a definition of “biosolids” (too general term) and indicate the cities from which the biosolids were analysed.

Methods

The methods section should include a section to describe the statistical analysis work performed in this work (number of samples, number or replicates per analysis, calculation method of the uncertainty bars).

Subsection “Faecal sludge sampling”

In the first paragraph:

- The reasons to sample the sludge in the indicated months should be justified;
- The information about sampling in Madurai is missing.

The method to determine the total solids content, described in the last paragraph, does not correspond to the method that is commonly employed for the characterisation of faecal sludge (the method derived from the standards of wastewater analysis). The reasons to follow this method (and not the method typically practiced) should be provided.

Subsection “Biosolids sampling”

The periods of the year, during which the biosolids were sampled, should be indicated (in a similar way as this has been done in the case of the faecal sludge samples).

It is not necessary to repeat the explanation of the total solids content method, which has been already described in the previous sub-section. In order to avoid repetitions and lead to a more fluent reading, the method should be only mentioned and a cross-reference to the previous sub-section should be made for the description of the procedure.

Results and discussion

Subsection “Description of FS sources”

The first paragraph should be placed in the Methods section.

In the last paragraph:

- The statement “The majority of the samples collected for both cities were from residential

sites" should indicate if the residential sites encompass both single and multi-units, and the percentage that they represent;

- It should be specified if the findings about the distribution across categories of the sampled sources apply for both Coimbatore and Tiruppur.

Subsection "Total solids content of FS sources"

At the end of the first paragraph, it is stated that only 36% of the sources in Coimbatore and 11% of the sources in Tiruppur received greywater in addition to blackwater. These values lack of significance without setting a comparison basis and therefore the typical practices in India with respect to the disposal of greywater in the toilets should be indicated.

In the last paragraph:

- it would be interesting to compare how the samples are distributed as a function of the total solids content between Coimbatore and Tiruppur;
- The geographical location related to the statement "This study did not examine the effect of different seasons on the TS content" should be mentioned (i.e. Coimbatore).

In Figure 2, I suggest to change the y-label to "% of the total samples" (instead "total samples").

Subsection "Elemental composition and calorific content of FS sources"

In the first paragraph:

- The first two sentences should be placed in the "Methods" section;
- In the case of faecal sludge from Tiruppur, the number of samples taken per source category should be provided (first paragraph);
- The rationale behind the selection of a different number of replicates between the two cities (N = 2 for Coimbatore; N = 11 for Tiruppur) should be explained.

In the second paragraph:

- The higher ash content of the sludges from this study, compared to fresh faeces, is attributed to environmental factors such as the construction practices of the onsite sanitation systems. However, this explanation is incomplete as other factors could be also involved. For example, faecal sludge is usually biodegraded during its storage and, in consequence, its ash content tends to increase.
- The supposed correlation of the fixed carbon and oxygen content with the HHV is incorrect. A higher fixed carbon is usually associated to a higher caloric value, as demonstrated by Demirbas (2008)¹, while a higher oxygen content tends to lower the calorific value (because the degree of oxidation of carbon is lowered with the presence of oxygen atoms in the molecular structure of a fuel, leading to a lower energy released during its oxidation). An interesting measure of the calorific value is through its O/C and H/C. Usually, when the O/C increases and the H/C decreases, the calorific value of the material decreases (and viceversa).

In the last paragraph, it is stated that the public toilets could be expected to have a higher urination frequency than the other units. This statement should be supported with explanations or references.

Figure 4 should include the uncertainty bars for the LHV.

References

1. Demirbas A: Relationships Proximate Analysis Results and Higher Heating Values of Lignites. *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects*. 2008; **30** (20): 1876-1883 [Publisher Full Text](#)

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Partly

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Thermal process (drying, pyrolysis, gasification, combustion, biochar); faecal sludge characterization (rheology, stickiness, physiochemical properties)

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 16 Jan 2020

Sonia Grego, Duke University, Durham, USA

We thank Dr. Septien Stringel for his thorough review of the manuscript. We agree with the suggestions he proposed and have implemented them in version 2 of the manuscript, which we believe is much improved.

A point by point response to comments follows, in bold font.

Abstract:

In the paragraph about the methods, the other analyses that were conducted, apart from the proximate and ultimate analyses, should be mentioned.

Spelled out that TS and heavy metal ash analysis were conducted.

Introduction:

In the last paragraph, the scope of your work should have more details:

- Indicate the reasons to analyse specifically the faecal sludge from the province of Tamil Nadu;

Added

- Give a definition of “biosolids” (too general term) and indicate the cities from which the biosolids were analysed.

Added definition of biosolid as treated sewage sludge

Methods

The methods section should include a section to describe the statistical analysis work performed in this work (number of samples, number or replicates per analysis, calculation method of the uncertainty bars).

A section on statistical analysis was added

Subsection “Faecal sludge sampling”

In the first paragraph:

- The reasons to sample the sludge in the indicated months should be justified;

The reason was logistical constraint of the project and this explanation was added to the text.

- The information about sampling in Madurai is missing.

No fecal sludge was sampled in Madurai, only biosolids.

The method to determine the total solids content, described in the last paragraph, does not correspond to the method that is commonly employed for the characterisation of faecal sludge (the method derived from the standards of wastewater analysis). The reasons to follow this method (and not the method typically practiced) should be provided.

Indeed this rationale was missing from the original submission. We added the explanation which is related to equipment limitation.

Subsection “Biosolids sampling”

The periods of the year, during which the biosolids were sampled, should be indicated (in a similar way as this has been done in the case of the faecal sludge samples).

Added

It is not necessary to repeat the explanation of the total solids content method, which has been already described in the previous sub-section. In order to avoid repetitions and lead to a more fluent reading, the method should be only mentioned and a cross-reference to the previous sub-section should be made for the description of the procedure.

Done. Removed paragraph and added reference to method used before.

Results and discussion

Subsection “Description of FS sources”

The first paragraph should be placed in the Methods section.

The information from the first paragraph was placed in the Method section. A

sentence summarizing the number of truck sampled from the study is left at the beginning of the result section to orient the reader.

In the last paragraph:

- The statement “The majority of the samples collected for both cities were from residential sites” should indicate if the residential sites encompass both single and multi-units, and the percentage that they represent;

Percentage were added for residential sites comprising of both single and multi-family units.

- It should be specified if the findings about the distribution across categories of the sampled sources apply for both Coimbatore and Tiruppur.

Values for the categories were added to specify values for both Tiruppur and Coimbatore.

Subsection “Total solids content of FS sources”

At the end of the first paragraph, it is stated that only 36% of the sources in Coimbatore and 11% of the sources in Tiruppur received greywater in addition to blackwater. These values lack of significance without setting a comparison basis and therefore the typical practices in India with respect to the disposal of greywater in the toilets should be indicated.

Added a reference to a government report that describes greywater disposal practices (page 3). Added text to the manuscript “In India, wastewater disposal practices include only the toilet effluent to be plumbed to the septic tank while greywater is discharged to soak pit or other drain (Ministry of Urban Development 2011).”

In the last paragraph:

- it would be interesting to compare how the samples are distributed as a function of the total solids content between Coimbatore and Tiruppur;

Added

- The geographical location related to the statement “This study did not examine the effect of different seasons on the TS content” should be mentioned (i.e. Coimbatore).

Added

In Figure 2, I suggest to change the y-label to “% of the total samples” (instead “total samples”).

Done and graphs was changed accordingly.

Subsection “Elemental composition and calorific content of FS sources”

In the first paragraph:

- The first two sentences should be placed in the “Methods” section;

The first sentence was moved.

- In the case of faecal sludge from Tiruppur, the number of samples taken per source

category should be provided (first paragraph);

A sentence with the breakdown data was added.

- The rationale behind the selection of a different number of replicates between the two cities (N = 2 for Coimbatore; N = 11 for Tiruppur) should be explained.

“A higher number of specimen were analyzed in Tiruppur than in Coimbatore for pragmatic reasons related to an opportunity of piloting novel decentralized FS thermal treatment in that city.” This explanation was added to the manuscript

In the second paragraph:

- The higher ash content of the sludges from this study, compared to fresh faeces, is attributed to environmental factors such as the construction practices of the onsite sanitation systems. However, this explanation is incomplete as other factors could be also involved. For example, faecal sludge is usually biodegraded during its storage and, in consequence, its ash content tends to increase.

We agree and the additional hypothesis was added.

- The supposed correlation of the fixed carbon and oxygen content with the HHV is incorrect. A higher fixed carbon is usually associated to a higher caloric value, as demonstrated by Demirbas (2008)¹, while a higher oxygen content tends to lower the calorific value (because the degree of oxidation of carbon is lowered with the presence of oxygen atoms in the molecular structure of a fuel, leading to a lower energy released during its oxidation). An interesting measure of the calorific value is through its O/C and H/C. Usually, when the O/C increases and the H/C decreases, the calorific value of the material decreases (and viceversa).

We agree with the reviewer that the statement was incorrect by error. HHV increases with FC and decreases VM and ash. We have revised accordingly.

In the last paragraph, it is stated that the public toilets could be expected to have a higher urination frequency than the other units. This statement should be supported with explanations or references.

We are removing this statement because the nature of sanitation practices depends on contextual factors that were not tracked in this study and we are unable to support the statement.

Figure 4 should include the uncertainty bars for the LHV.

Error bars were added to figure 4

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.